Meeting Minutes
Student Technology Fee Committee

Meeting called to order on April 8, 2011 at: 12:30 pm

In Attendance: Abraham George, Jean Bittinger, Wayne Summers, Joshua Burston was not available.
SGA representatives were: Avery Rosser, Dominic Jain Damini, Marquest Ajoku, and Lauren Carter.

Visitors: Amber

- Introduction of team members.
- Abraham George covered a brief overview for the new members regarding the purpose of the Student Technology Fee Committee, where this funding comes from and what is its purpose.
- Copies of the New Technology Fee Application and Recurring Student Technology Fee application lists were handed out.
- The projected budget for FY12 with a proposed increase of three dollars per semester will be $1,133,000.00, the projected budget without the three dollar per semester increase will be $1,080,468.00
- Abraham explained for the SGA students what the Student Technology Fees pay for by stating that the entire help desk service in the open lab and the student repair shop are completely funded through student technology fees. Approximately $200,000 in digital library subscriptions they can access in the library or through CougarNet are funded through student technology fees as examples.
- Abraham stated over two million dollars in applications had originally been submitted but had to be prioritized and some did not qualify due to not meeting the criteria or priority set by the USG technology fee usage standards.
- Recurring applications that were originally funded through student technology fees were gone through, line item by line item, explained and discussed.
- Abraham stated he was tabling Tegrity at a cost of $115,000.00 for the moment and will try to find funding elsewhere.
• Dr. Summers asked whether the printers in applications #164 were new or if they were replacement printers. The answer is they are replacements or upgrades for the labs.

• Dr. Wayne Summers asked questions regarding a few of the recurring software such as StudyMate Author and MSDN AA Developer regarding their validity of their use either campus wide or for individual colleges. The software is for use campus wide.

• MyTech tab was brought into the discussion and shown to the SGA members who were not familiar with this. Discussion regarding what is available, how it can be used and how helpful the Atomic Learning software is available under this tab. It was suggested that UITS join some of the SGA meetings to bring the MyTech tab awareness to more of the CSU student body.

• New student technology fee applications were brought to the table. Each application was introduced, explained and approved or disapproved.

• The student representative approved applications are $300,000.00 over what has been projected for FY12.

• Abraham stated when the amount of the approved applications crossed the $1, 133,000.00 mark of the projected amount; the remaining approved applications will be funded upon the additional of revenue collected to the projected budget.

Adjourned at: 2:45 pm